

<p style="text-align: center;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<p>Application No. 10/822,364</p>	<p>Applicant(s) BURGMEIER ET AL</p>
	<p>Examiner BHISMA MEHTA</p>	<p>Art Unit 3767</p>

All Participants:

(1) BHISMA MEHTA

(2) Lisa Ryan-Lindquist

Date of Interview: 8 January 2010

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
1 and 17

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Amendments to the specification to provide antecedent basis for the specific wording of the claims was discussed and agreed to as indicated in the Examiner's Amendment. Amendments to claim 1 to correct minor informalities and to claim 17 to more clearly define the invention were discussed and agreed to as indicated in the Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Bhisma Mehta/
Examiner, Art Unit 3767

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)